

SOLVING FUZZY LPP FOR PENTAGONAL FUZZY NUMBER USING RANKING APPROACH

SOMESHWAR SIDDI¹

*¹Associate Professor in Mathematics, St. Martin's Engineering College, India
someshsiddi@gmail.com*

Y RAGHUNATHA REDDY²

*²Assistant Professor, Department of OR & SQC, Rayalaseema University, India
drraghy@gmail.com*

ABSTRACT

A method is proposed for solving Fuzzy LPP with pentagonal fuzzy number by using a ranking function and compared the solutions with fully fuzzy LPP. It is observed that the Optimum solutions were obtained using fully fuzzy LPP.

Keywords: Fuzzy LPP, Fully Fuzzy LPP, membership function, pentagonal fuzzy number, ranking function

1. INTRODUCTION

Problems involved decision making in the real time scenario are very often uncertain or vague in general. Fuzzy numbers are used in various fields such as fuzzy process methods, decision control theory, problems involving decision making, system reasoning. Fuzzy systems, including fuzzy set theory and fuzzy logic, have a variety of successful applications. Due to the presence of uncertainty in many mathematical formulations in different branches of science and technology, the concept of fuzzy linear programming was proposed by Tanaka et al[3]. Pandian and Jayalakshmi[6] have proposed a decomposition method for solving fuzzy Integer LPP with fuzzy variables by using classical integer LPP.

Bellman and Zadeh[7] proposed the concept of decision making in fuzzy environment. Nasseri[10] has proposed a raking new method for solving fuzzy LPP in which he has converted the fuzzy objective function into crisp objective function.

In this paper, we use the notion of pentagonal fuzzy number by generalizing some other types of fuzzy numbers and studied properties and formulation required for the pentagonal fuzzy number. Also presented several preliminaries regarding the fuzzy number.

2 PRELIMINARIES

For the LPP's in the crisp problems, we need to maximize or minimize a linear objective function under linear constraints. But in many practical situations, the decision maker may not be in a position to specify the objective and or constraint functions precisely rather than can specify them in a fuzzy sense. In

such type of problems, it is required to use Fuzzy Programming type of modelling so as to provide more flexibility to the decision maker.

Membership function for the trapezoidal fuzzy number $A=(a, b, c, d)$ is given by

$$\mu_A(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \leq a \\ \frac{x-a}{b-a}, & a < x < b \\ 1, & b \leq x \leq c \\ \frac{d-x}{d-c}, & c < x < d \\ 0, & d > x \end{cases}$$

Membership function for the pentagonal fuzzy number $A=(a, b, c, d, e)$ is given by

$$\mu_A(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \leq a \\ \frac{x-a}{b-a}, & a < x \leq b \\ \frac{x-b}{c-b}, & b < x < c \\ 1, & x = c \\ \frac{d-x}{d-c}, & c < x < d \\ \frac{e-x}{e-d}, & d \leq x < e \\ 0, & x \geq e \end{cases}$$

3. ARITHMETIC OPERATIONS OF PENTAGONAL FUZZY NUMBER (PFN)

- (i) **Addition:** Let $A= (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5)$ and $B=(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5)$ be two pentagonal fuzzy numbers then $A+B = (a_1+b_1, a_2+b_2, a_3+b_3, a_4+b_4, a_5+b_5)$
- (ii) **Subtraction:** Let $A= (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5)$ and $B=(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5)$ be two pentagonal fuzzy numbers then $A-B = (a_1-b_1, a_2-b_2, a_3-b_3, a_4-b_4, a_5-b_5)$
- (iii) **Scalar Multiplication:** Let $A= (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5)$ be a pentagonal fuzzy number and $k \in \mathbb{R}$ be any scalar then $kA= (ka_1, ka_2, ka_3, ka_4, ka_5)$
- (iv) **Positive PFN:** A pentagon fuzzy number is said to be positive if all its entries are positive.
- (v) **Null PFN:** A PFN is called a Null PFN if all of its entries are zero.

4 PROPOSED ALGORITHM FOR PENTAGONAL FUZZY NUMBER

STEP I : Formulate the chosen problem in to the fuzzy LPP as

Maximize(or Minimize) $z = cx$

s. to $Ax \leq \geq b$ and $x \geq 0$

STEP II : **Derivation of Ranking function**

Let $\tilde{A} = (a, b, c, d, e)$ be any pentagonal fuzzy number then,

$$\begin{aligned} R(\tilde{A}) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (a + (b-a)\lambda + b + (c-b)\lambda + d - (d-c)\lambda + e - (e-d)\lambda) d\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (a + 2b + 2c + 2d + e) \end{aligned}$$

Using the above proposed ranking function, the Fuzzy LPP transformed into LPP.

STEP III: The LPP is solved by using Simplex method to obtain the optimal solution.

5 ILLUSTRATION OF PROPOSED METHOD (FUZZY LPP) FOR PENTAGONAL FUZZY NUMBER

Consider the following fuzzy linear programming problem

$$\text{Max } Z = (0.1, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.7) x_1 + (0.2, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7, 0.8) x_2$$

$$\text{s. to } (0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.6) x_1 + (0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.5, 0.6) x_2 \leq (0.1, 0.3, 0.4, 0.7, 0.9)$$

$$(0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8) x_1 + (0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.9) x_2 \leq (0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7)$$

$$(0.1, 0.3, 0.4, 0.7, 0.8) x_1 + (0.3, 0.4, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9) x_2 \leq (0.2, 0.3, 0.5, 0.6, 0.8) \text{ and } x_1, x_2 \geq 0.$$

The fuzzy Linear programming problem can be reformulated to the LPP by using the proposed ranking function (applying to Objective function)

$$\text{Max } z = \{(0.1+2(0.3)+2(0.4)+2(0.5)+0.7)/4\} x_1 + \{(0.2+2(0.3)+2(0.5)+2(0.7)+0.8)/4\} x_2$$

$$\text{i.e., } \text{Max } z = 0.8x_1 + x_2$$

$$\text{s. to } 0.1 x_1 + 0.1x_2 \leq 0.1, 0.2x_1 + 0.2x_2 \leq 0.3, 0.3x_1 + 0.3x_2 \leq 0.4, 0.4x_1 + 0.5x_2 \leq 0.7$$

$$0.6x_1 + 0.6x_2 \leq 0.9, 0.2x_1 + 0.3x_2 \leq 0.1, 0.4x_1 + 0.4x_2 \leq 0.2, 0.6x_1 + 0.5x_2 \leq 0.3, 0.7x_1 + 0.6x_2 \leq 0.5,$$

$$0.8x_1 + 0.9x_2 \leq 0.7, 0.1x_1 + 0.3x_2 \leq 0.2, 0.3x_1 + 0.4x_2 \leq 0.3$$

$$0.4x_1 + 0.7x_2 \leq 0.5, 0.7x_1 + 0.8x_2 \leq 0.6, 0.8x_1 + 0.9x_2 \leq 0.8 \text{ and } x_1, x_2 \geq 0.$$

The optimal solution of the above Fuzzy LPP is $x_1 = 0.5$, $x_2 = 0$ and $\text{Max } Z = 0.4$

PENTAGONAL FUZZY LPP Solution				
	X1	X2		RHS
Maximize	.8	1		
Constraint 1	.1	.1	<=	.1
Constraint 2	.2	.2	<=	.3
Constraint 3	.3	.3	<=	.4
Constraint 4	.4	.5	<=	.7
Constraint 5	.6	.6	<=	.9
Constraint 6	.2	.3	<=	.1
Constraint 7	.4	.4	<=	.2
Constraint 8	.6	.5	<=	.3
Constraint 9	.7	.6	<=	.5
Constraint 10	.8	.9	<=	.7
Constraint 11	.1	.3	<=	.2
Constraint 12	.3	.4	<=	.3
Constraint 13	.4	.7	<=	.5
Constraint 14	.7	.8	<=	.6
Constraint 15	.8	.9	<=	.8
Solution	.5	0		.4

Table 5.1: Fuzzy LPP Solution of pentagonal problem using QM for windows

6 ILLUSTRATION OF PROPOSED METHOD (FULLY FUZZY LPP) FOR PENTAGONAL FUZZY NUMBER

Consider the following fuzzy linear programming problem

$$\text{Max } Z = (0.1, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.7) x_1 + (0.2, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7, 0.8) x_2$$

$$\text{s. to } (0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.6) x_1 + (0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.5, 0.6) x_2 \leq (0.1, 0.3, 0.4, 0.7, 0.9)$$

$$(0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8) x_1 + (0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.9) x_2 \leq (0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7)$$

$$(0.1, 0.3, 0.4, 0.7, 0.8) x_1 + (0.3, 0.4, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9) x_2 \leq (0.2, 0.3, 0.5, 0.6, 0.8)$$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0.$$

The fully fuzzy Linear programming problem can be reformulated to the LPP by using the proposed ranking function

$$\text{Max } z = \{(0.1+2(0.3)+2(0.4)+2(0.5)+0.7)/4\} x_1 + \{(0.2+2(0.3)+2(0.5)+2(0.7)+0.8)/4\} x_2$$

s. to

$$\{(0.1+0.4+0.6+0.8+0.6)/4\} x_1 + \{(0.1+0.4+0.6+1+0.6)/4\} x_2 \leq \{(0.1+0.6+0.8+1.4+.9)/4\}$$

$$\{(0.2+0.8+1.2+1.4+0.8)/4\} x_1 + \{(0.3+0.8+1+1.2+0.9)/4\} x_2 \leq \{(0.1+0.4+.6+1+0.7)/4\}$$

$$\{(0.1+0.6+0.8+1.4+0.8)/4\} x_1 + \{(0.3+0.8+1.4+1.6+.9)/4\} x_2 \leq \{(0.2+0.6+1+1.2+0.8)/4\}$$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0.$$

$$\text{i.e., } \text{Max } z = 0.8x_1 + x_2$$

s. to $0.625 x_1 + 0.675 x_2 \leq 0.95$, $1.1 x_1 + 1.05 x_2 \leq 0.7$

$0.925 x_1 + 1.25 x_2 \leq 0.95$ and $x_1, x_2 \geq 0$.

The optimal solution of the above fully fuzzy LPP is

$x_1 = 0$, $x_2 = 0.67$ and $\text{Max } Z = 0.67$

PENTAGONAL PROBLEM FOR FULLY FUZZY LPP Solution				
	X1	X2		RHS
Maximize	.8	1		
Constraint 1	.63	.68	<=	.95
Constraint 2	1.1	1.05	<=	.7
Constraint 3	.93	1.25	<=	.95
Solution	0	.67		.67

Table 6.1: Fully Fuzzy LPP Solution of pentagonal problem using QM for windows

7 COMPARISON STUDY FOR PENTAGONAL FUZZY NUMBER

RANKING METHOD	x_1	x_2	Z
(MI)Fuzzy LPP	0.5	0	0.4
(MII)Fully Fuzzy LPP	0	0.67	0.67

Table 7.1: Result comparison between Fuzzy LPP and Fully fuzzy LPP for pentagonal fuzzy number

Using Fuzzy LPP ranking method, solution set is $x_1=0.5$, $x_2=0$ and $\text{Max } Z=0.4$

Using Fully Fuzzy LPP ranking method, solution set is $x_1=0$, $x_2=0.67$ and $\text{Max } Z=0.67$

We have obtained the OPTIMAL SOLUTION by using Fully Fuzzy LPP method. Hence, Fully Fuzzy LPP ranking method is reasonable and effective in finding the maximum value of the objective function of a fuzzy LPP problem.

REFERENCES

[1] D Stephen dinagar and M Mohamed Jeyavuthin (2018), Solving Integer linear programming problems with pentagonal fuzzy numbers, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 118, 6, 185-192.

[2] G Sankaraiah, Y Raghunatha Reddy (2010), Design and Optimization of an Integrated reliability redundancy system with multiple constraints, *2nd international conference on reliability, safety and hazard risk based technologies and physics of failure methods (ICRESH)*, IEEE.

[3] H. Tanaka, T. Okuda and K.Asai (1974), On fuzzy mathematical programming, *The Journal of Cybernetics*, 3, 37-46.

- [4] K Ganesan and P Veeramani (2006), Fuzzy linear programs with trapezoidal fuzzy number, *Annals of Operations Research* , 143, 305-315.
- [5] Keown A J and Duncan C P (2007), Integer goal programming in advertising media selection, *Decision Sciences*, 10, 4, 577 – 592.
- [6] P Pandian, M Jayalakshmi (2010), A new method for solving integer linear programming problems with fuzzy variables, *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, Vol. 4, 20, 997-1004.
- [7] R E Bellman, L A Zadeh (1970), Decision making in a fuzzy environment, *Management Sciences*, 17, 141-164.
- [8] S Abbasbandy and T Hajjari (2009), A new approach for ranking of trapezoidal fuzzy numbers, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 57, 413-419.
- [9] S Chanas (1983), The Use of Parametric Programming in Fuzzy Linear Programming, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 11, 229-241.
- [10] S.H.Nasseri (2008), A new method for solving fuzzy linear programming by solving linear programming, *Applied Mathematical Science*, 2, 37-46.
- [11] S H Nasseri and E Ardil (2009), Simplex method for Fuzzy Variable Linear Programming Problems, *International Journal of Mathematical, Computational, Physical, Electrical and Computer Engineering*, 3, 10.
- [12] S Madhusudana Verma and Y Raghunatha Reddy (2001), A two unit non identical parallel system with common cause shock failures, *Proceedings of the national conference on Mathematical and Computational Models*, 91-96.
- [13] Someshwar Siddi and Y Raghunatha Reddy (2017), Solution Of Integer Linear Programming Problems With Triangular Fuzzy Numbers, *International Journal Of Engineering Sciences & Research Technology*, 6, 3, 325-328.
- [14] Someshwar Siddi and Y Raghunatha Reddy (2017), Fuzzy Linear Problem Solution Using Lower Bound And Upper Bound Technique, *International Journal Of Mathematics & Physical Sciences Research*, 5, 1, 1-8.
- [15] Yager R R (1993), Non numeric multi criteria multi person decision making, *Group Decision Negotiation*, 2, 81-93.
- [16] Yager R R (1980), On a general class of fuzzy connectives, *Fuzzy sets and systems*, 4, 235-242.
- [17] Yager R R (1984), General multiple objective decision-making and linguistically quantified statements, *International Journal Man Machine Studies*, 21, 389-400.